

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,178	12/06/2000	Steven D. Goedeke	P-8896	9273	
27581	7590 01/21/2004	•	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			2655	10	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				$\overline{}$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	` <i>\</i>
~ ~	Advisory Action	09/731,178	GOEDEKE ET AL.	
*	•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	:	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
There final re condit	REPLY FILED 13 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application.	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) Ex fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ebeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of let 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officialed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in If the appeal.	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
•) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,	
•	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 		rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) \(\text{ they present additional claims without canceli} \)	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by (he Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
_	Other:		Joen (
			2000011 20	1000

DORIS H. TO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added step of a processor in communication with the implanted medical device, does change the scope of the claims and requires further consieration and/or search. Furthermore, see comments below regarding the art rejection..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the stated reasons above (with respect to changing the scope of the claims). Furthermore, examiner points to Snell (col. 3 lines 61-65, col. 3 lines 5-7, and col. 4 line 5); and Brant (fig. 7, showing selectable commands for a voice recognition module)..